Unitarity Bounds in AdS_3 Higher Spin Gravity

Alejandra Castro[1a], Eliot Hijano[2a], Arnaud Lepage-Jutier[3a]

[a] *McGill Physics Department, 3600 rue University, Montréal, QC H3A 2T8, Canada*

arXiv:1202.4467v1 [hep-th] 20 Feb 2012

Abstract

We study $SL(N,\mathbb{R})$ Chern-Simons gauge theories in three dimensions. The choice of the embedding of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ in $SL(N,\mathbb{R})$, together with asymptotic boundary conditions, defines a theory of higher spin gravity. Each inequivalent embedding leads to a different asymptotic symmetry group, which we map to an OPE structure at the boundary. A simple inspection of these algebras indicates that only the $\mathcal{W}_N$ algebra constructed using the principal embedding could admit a unitary representation for large values of the central charge.

[1]email: acastro@physics.mcgill.ca
[2]email: eliot.hijano@mail.mcgill.ca
[3]email: arnaud.lepage-jutier@mail.mcgill.ca

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Matter Content of Higher Spin Gravity **3**
2.1 More about non-principal embeddings 6

3 Operator Product Expansions and Unitarity **6**
3.1 Asymptotic symmetry group, $\mathcal{W}$-algebras and OPEs 6
3.2 Sum embedding 10
3.2.1 Example: $U(1)$ singlet in $\mathcal{W}_3^{(2)}$ algebra 12
3.3 Product embedding 13
3.3.1 Example: sl_2 currents in $\mathcal{W}_4^{(2,2)}$ algebra 15

A Conventions **17**
A.1 Sum embedding construction 18
A.2 Product embedding construction 18

B Quantum $\mathcal{W}_3^{(2)}$ algebra **18**

1 Introduction

Higher spin theories provide a new venue to examine our expectations about quantum gravity. The pioneer work of Vasiliev gives a background independent formulation of a classical theory of AdS gravity coupled to an infinite tower of higher spin fields (see e.g. [1, 2] and references within). An immediate consequence is that the gauge symmetries of the theory encompass both diffeomorphisms and the higher spin transformations, providing a non-linear and non-local theory. This is one of many features that have the potential to address puzzles such as singularity resolution and the significance of black hole horizons.

In relation to the holographic principle, higher spin theories allow us to investigate in more depth the dictionary and consequences of this correspondence. For $\mathrm{AdS}_4/\mathrm{CFT}_3$, the first version of the duality was conjectured by Klebanov and Polyakov in [3], and further refined and tested in e.g. [4, 5, 6]. Without going into details, these complicated bulk Vasiliev theories are conjectured to be dual to simple, and in principle, solvable theories. This opens the possibility of tracking the emergence of space-time from the boundary theory, among other effects.

Our focus here will be in the three-dimensional version of AdS higher spin gravity, and hence its two-dimensional dual CFT. This is arguably the simplest setup of the correspondence from the bulk point of view, which has allowed a better understanding of physical

phenomena in Vasiliev theory. Starting with the construction of a classical phase space [7, 8], the advances include understanding the quantization of the theory [9, 10, 11, 12, 13], a non-geometric definition of black holes [14, 15, 16], construction of novel solutions [17, 18, 19], generalizations to de Sitter space [20], and much more [21, 22, 23, 24]. Further, the duality proposed in [25] between a specific Vasiliev theory and a large N 't Hooft limit of $\mathcal{W}_N$ minimal models is providing new insights in the field [26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 20, 37, 38, 39, 40].

The advantage of AdS_3 gravity, and its higher spin generalizations, is due to the absence of local degrees of freedom. The construction of these theories is straightforward by using the Chern-Simons (CS) formulation of 3D gravity, and as we will review below, coupling higher spin fields to gravity is as simple as studying a $SL(N,\mathbb{R}) \times SL(N,\mathbb{R})$ CS theory. While it seems almost trivial, the theory still contains both perturbative and non-perturbative configurations which characterize the global dynamics of the theory. Our aim is to understand the perturbative spectrum of the higher spin theory, and from here identify which of these classical theories are well-defined after canonical quantization.

There is a systematic and complete construction of the perturbative spectrum, and the essence of this construction is based on the original work of Brown and Henneaux [41]. The idea is to construct the non-trivial gauge transformations, and the group generated by this set labels all physical states smoothly connected to the identity. In the bulk language, this is known as the asymptotic symmetry group. Based on [42, 43], the analysis in [8] provides a systematic implementation of the Brown-Henneaux construction adapted to higher spin theories. The remarkable observation is that the resulting algebra is a conformal extension of the Virasoro algebra, known as $\mathcal{W}$-algebras [44], with central charge c. As in the Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction [45] –which is an algebraic construction of $\mathcal{W}$– the resulting algebra constructed in the bulk depends on the gauge group of the CS theory, the embedding of sl_2 in the gauge group and the coupling constant k, where $c \sim 6k$. The majority of the literature listed above focus on the principal embedding of sl_2 in sl_N; here the gravitational theory has a simple interpretation as an interacting theory for a non-degenerate tower of massless spin s fields with $s = 2, \ldots, N$. Our focus is on the physical interpretation of secondary (non-principal) embeddings, which we will infer by exploiting some basic features of the conformal algebras.

Specific examples of non-principal embeddings have been discussed in the context of higher spin theories in [18, 15, 32, 16]. A point that has been overlooked is that the $\mathcal{W}$-algebra, obtained after imposing asymptotically AdS boundary conditions, is universally ill-defined in the following sense. All non-principal embeddings contain either Abelian or non-Abelian subalgebras generated by spin 1 fields. These subalgebras are enhanced to chiral Kac-Moody algebras at level κ inside the $\mathcal{W}$-algebra, and κ is mostly fixed by the

central charge c.[1] Our construction shows that κ is strictly negative for large positive values of c. As we show explicitly in the text, a negative level implies that the spectrum contains negative norm states. The snapshot of the argument is that the Kac-Moody subalgebra is schematically of the form

$$[U_n, U_m] = -|\kappa| n \delta_{n+m} + \cdots , \tag{1.1}$$

and therefore the state $|\psi\rangle = U_{-1}|0\rangle$ has negative norm. The dots in (1.1) are additional terms appearing for non-Abelian currents, but those terms don't interfere with the logic. The details are discussed sections 3.2 and 3.3. Hence, the semiclassical $\mathcal{W}$-algebra for any secondary embedding does not admit a unitary representation.[2] However, a class of $SL(N)$ theories that escapes our fatal conclusion are those built using the principal embedding. Our analysis provides a simple selection principle that places the principle embedding as perhaps the only consistent framework of higher spin gravity in three dimensions.

The organization of the paper is as follows. We first work out the matter content of our theory in section 2, focusing on two classes of non-principal embeddings: the sum and product embedding. We then construct the asymptotic symmetry algebra in section 3 which we use to map our fields to operators at the boundary. The relevant operator product expansions are then related to norms of descendents of the spin 1 current in the different subsections. In appendix A we introduce notation and conventions, and in appendix B we discuss in more depth the unitary representation of $\mathcal{W}_3^{(2)}$ for finite values of the central charge.

2 Matter Content of Higher Spin Gravity

Three dimensional Einstein gravity with a negative cosmological constant can be recast as a $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ Chern-Simons theory [46, 47]. To fix some of the notation, we will briefly review this statement here. We will then proceed to discuss the construction of higher spin theories using the language of the Chern-Simons theory. See also [48, 49, 50, 51, 8] for more details and generalizations of this construction.

The key observation is that by rewriting the dreibein and spin connection as

$$e = \frac{\ell}{2}(A - \bar{A}) , \quad \omega = \frac{1}{2}(A + \bar{A}) , \tag{2.1}$$

with ℓ the AdS radius, the Einstein-Hilbert action can be written as a $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ Chern-Simons theory

$$S = S_{CS}[A] - S_{CS}[\bar{A}] , \tag{2.2}$$

[1] κ also depends on the rank of the gauge group and other minor details of the embedding.

[2] The algebra could still admit non-unitary irreducible representations, but in our opinion it is not an interesting scenario.

where

$$S_{CS}[A] = \frac{k}{4\pi}\mathrm{tr}\int_{\mathcal{M}}\left(A\wedge dA+\frac{2}{3}A\wedge A\wedge A\right)\,. \tag{2.3}$$

The trace is with respect to the invariant quadratic form of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$, and the integration is over the 3-manifold $\mathcal{M}$. The level of the Chern-Simons action k is related to the AdS radius (ℓ) and Newton's constant (G_3) by matching the normalization to agree with the Einstein-Hilbert action

$$k\,\mathrm{tr}\left(L_0^2\right) = \frac{\ell}{8G_3}\,, \tag{2.4}$$

where L_0 is the generator for the center of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$.

The Einstein's equations are then flatness conditions for the connections,

$$dA + A\wedge A = 0\,,\quad d\bar{A}+\bar{A}\wedge\bar{A}=0\,. \tag{2.5}$$

The absence of local degrees of freedom for three-dimensional gravity is evident in the Chern-Simons formulation.

Coupling matter to this theory can be easily done in this framework. In particular we can include spin fields by looking at extensions of the Chern-Simons gauge group. We require that $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ sits as a subgroup in this extension to guarantee gravitational dynamics. Much effort has been put recently into the study of $\mathrm{SL}(N,\mathbb{R})\times\mathrm{SL}(N,\mathbb{R})$ Chern-Simons theory, which has been coined higher spin gravity for reasons that will become clear in our treatment. The interpretation of this theory in terms of metric-like fields depends on the choice of embedding of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ in $\mathrm{SL}(N,\mathbb{R})$, and we will review here what is known about such embeddings.

For example, consider the case $N=3$. Here we have only two possible embeddings. The principal embedding of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ in $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$ contains a spin 2 field and spin 3 field, hence it is a description of Einstein gravity coupled to a spin 3 field. The other inequivalent embedding, denoted non-principal embedding, contains a spin 2 field – analogue to the one found in the principal embedding – a spin 1 current, and two bosonic spin 3/2 fields [18]. Even though both theories are loosely speaking "SL(3) gravitational theory", the two inequivalent embeddings have different matter content, and therefore a different interpretation when written locally in terms of metric-like fields.

The number of inequivalent embeddings and the field content complexity of the theory increases with N, and hence the gravitational interpretation of an $\mathrm{SL}(N)$ Chern-Simons theory is not unique. The number of possible embeddings of the algebra sl_2 in sl_N is given by the partition of N [52]. We can denote such an embedding by the branching of the fundamental representation under the choice of sl_2

$$\underline{N}_N \to \bigoplus_{\{j\}} n_j\cdot\underline{2j+1}\,. \tag{2.6}$$

Here we have partitioned $N = \sum_j n_j(2j+1)$; also we denoted the d-dimensional representation of sl_m by $\underline{d}_m$ and dropped the index for sl_2. The centralizer $\mathcal{C}$ of the embedded sl_2 subalgebra will also play a role, and in this case is given by

$$\mathcal{C} = \underset{\{j\}}{\oplus} sl_{n_j}\,, \tag{2.7}$$

up to $U(1)$ factors.

To illustrate our discussion, let's define the principal embedding. This is the embedding relevant for the duality proposed by [9], and studied initially in [7, 8]. We have

$$\underline{N}_N \to \underline{N}\,. \tag{2.8}$$

The centralizer $\mathcal{C}$ is trivial, and the adjoint representation ($\underline{ad}_N + \underline{1}_N \equiv \underline{N}_N \times \bar{\underline{N}}_N$) branches as

$$\underline{ad}_N \to \underline{3} \oplus \cdots \oplus \underline{2N-1}\,. \tag{2.9}$$

From this branching, one can see the degrees of freedom organize into a tower of massless fields of spin from 2 to N [8]. Therefore one can argue that this embedding gives a description of gravity coupled to a finite tower of massless higher spin fields.

The non-principal embeddings are more involved since the centralizer of sl_2 into sl_N will be non-trivial. Under $\mathcal{C} \otimes sl_2$ the adjoint representation will branch as

$$\underline{ad}_N + \underline{1}_N \to \begin{bmatrix} \underset{\{i\neq 0\}}{\oplus} \left(\underline{ad}_{n_i} + \underline{1}_{n_i}\right) \otimes \underline{1} + \left(\underline{n_0} \otimes \bar{n}_0\right) \otimes \underline{1} \\ \underset{\{i\neq 0\}}{\oplus} \underline{1}_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes (\underline{3} \oplus ... \oplus \underline{4i+1}) \\ \underset{\{i\neq 0\}}{\oplus} \underline{ad}_{n_i} \otimes (\underline{3} \oplus ... \oplus \underline{4i+1}) \\ \underset{\{i\neq j\}}{\oplus} \left(\underline{n_i}_{n_i} \otimes \underline{\overline{n_j}}_{n_j}\right) \otimes \left(\underline{2\,|i-j|+1} \oplus ... \oplus \underline{2\,|i+j|+1}\right) \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.10}$$

The matter content is given by the branching of $\underline{ad}_N$, and thus one of the singlet on the right-hand side of (2.10) will be canceled by the singlet constraint on the left-hand side. The first line represents the possible spin 1 fields. The very first term will lead to non-Abelian currents associated to $\mathcal{C}$, and $U(1)$ singlets will also be present if $n_{i\neq 0} > 1$. The last term in this line will contain a singlet unless $n_0 = 0$. The second line in this expression represents singlets under $\mathcal{C}$ of spin 2 up to $(2i+1)$, which we identify as the metric and the higher spin fields in the bulk. The third line represents multiplets of spin from 2 to $(2i+1)$ that transform in the adjoint representation of a sl_{n_i} algebra. The fourth term contains fields of spin from $(|i-j|+1)$ to $(|i+j|+1)$ that transform non-trivially under $\left(\underline{n_i}_{n_i} \otimes \underline{\overline{n_j}}_{n_j}\right)$.

We point out that the only embedding that lacks spin 1 currents is the one with $n_0 = 0$ and a unique $n_{i\neq 0} \neq 0$, which we single out as the principal embedding. Any other embedding will contain either singlets of spin 1 with $U(1)$ gauge symmetry or multiplets of spin 1 transforming in the adjoint representation of a sl_{n_i} algebra.

2.1 More about non-principal embeddings

The presence of spin 1 currents will be crucial to understand aspects of these higher spin theories. For sake of simplicity, we will carry out explicit computations for only two class of embeddings: the sum and the product embedding [53]. The sum embedding will serve as an example for the embeddings with $U(1)$ currents, while the product embedding is a nice setting to study non-Abelian currents.

Taking $N = P + M$, the structure of the sum embedding is $\underline{P+M}_{P+M} \to 1 \cdot \underline{M} + P \cdot \underline{1}$. Its adjoint decomposition is

$$\underline{ad}_{P+M} \to \underline{ad}_P \otimes \underline{1} + \underline{P}_P \otimes \underline{M} + \overline{\underline{P}}_P \otimes \underline{M} + \underline{1}_P \otimes (\underline{1} \oplus \underline{3} \oplus \cdots \oplus \underline{2M-1}) \ . \tag{2.11}$$

The theory contains a sl_P algebra, P fields of spin $(\frac{M+1}{2})$ that transform in the fundamental representation of the sl_P algebra, and another P that transform in the conjugate representation. Finally we have spin from 1 to M fields that transform as singlets under sl_P. Note that the latter with spin greater than 1 can also be found in the principal embedding of sl_2 in sl_M

$$\underline{ad}_M \to \underline{3} \oplus \cdots \oplus \underline{2M-1} \, . \tag{2.12}$$

The product embedding will be used to study non-Abelian current algebras. Here we take $N = PM$, and the structure is $\underline{P \cdot M}_{P \cdot M} \to P \cdot \underline{M}$. Its adjoint decomposition is

$$\underline{ad}_{P \cdot M} \to \underline{ad}_P \otimes \underline{1} + \underline{1}_P \otimes (\underline{3} \oplus \cdots \oplus \underline{2M-1}) + \underline{ad}_P \otimes (\underline{3} \oplus \cdots \oplus \underline{2M-1}) \ . \tag{2.13}$$

The theory contains a sl_P current algebra, singlets of spin from 2 up to M, and a multiplet of $P^2 - 1$ fields of spins from 2 up to M that transform in the adjoint representation of sl_P.

3 Operator Product Expansions and Unitarity

In this section we will describe some general features of the asymptotic symmetry algebra for any embedding of sl_2 in sl_N through the Drinfeld-Sokolov procedure. Our goal is to show that the presence of spin 1 currents in any embedding implies that the algebra does not admit a unitary representation in the classical limit.[3]

3.1 Asymptotic symmetry group, $\mathcal{W}$-algebras and OPEs

The asymptotic symmetry group is the set of non-trivial gauge transformations that preserve specific boundary conditions. For each generator of the group there is a finite conserved

[3]By classical limit we mean one for which the central charge of the boundary theory is large, i.e. the AdS radius is large in Planck units. The nature of $\mathcal{W}$-algebras in a quantum regime has been addressed previously in [54]. We use the example of the $\mathcal{W}_3^{(2)}$ algebra in appendix B to discuss the possibility of unitary representations for small central charge.

charge associated to it, and the perturbative spectrum of the theory is obtained by acting with these charges. With asymptotically AdS boundary conditions *à la* Brown-Henneaux [41], the familiar example is pure AdS_3 gravity. For this theory the rigid $sl_2 \times sl_2$ algebra is enhanced to two copies of the Virasoro algebra.

A similar statement holds for the higher spin theories: starting from an $sl_N \times sl_N$ theory with asymptotic AdS boundary conditions, the resulting asymptotic symmetry group is two copies of the $\mathcal{W}$-algebra [7, 8].[4] By construction these $\mathcal{W}$-algebras contain the Virasoro generators, and the additional spin currents depend on the embedding of sl_2 in sl_N. In this subsection, we will review this construction following the conventions in [53, 8].

In the Chern-Simons formulation of the theory, we first need to specify the connections and topologies under consideration. We start by introducing light-cone coordinates $x^{\pm} = t/\ell \pm \theta$, where t stands for the time direction and θ parametrizes the circle at the boundary. Constant time slices have the topology of a disc parametrized by θ and the radial coordinate ρ.

We will choose a radial gauge for the connections where

$$A_\rho = b^{-1}\partial_\rho b \ , \quad \bar{A}_\rho = b\,\partial_\rho b^{-1} \, . \tag{3.1}$$

This is always possible as there exists a local gauge transformation that brings any possible connection to this form. Here b is an arbitrary function of ρ valued in the $SL(N,\mathbb{R})$ group. The connection one forms are now given by

$$\begin{aligned} A &= b^{-1}a(x^+,x^-)b + b^{-1}d\,b \, , \\ \bar{A} &= b\,\bar{a}(x^+,x^-)b^{-1} + b\,d\,b^{-1} \, , \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where $a(x^+,x^-)$ and $\bar{a}(x^+,x^-)$ are flat sl_N valued one forms. In order to ensure the flatness of those one forms, we impose that

$$a(x^+,x^-) = a(x^+)dx^+ \, , \qquad \bar{a}(x^+,x^-) = \bar{a}(x^-)dx^- \, . \tag{3.3}$$

And from now on, we focus on the connection A, since the treatment for $\bar{A}$ is parallel.

Following the discussion of section 2, the sl_N algebra splits into irreducible representations of sl_2, i.e. $\underline{ad}_N \to \bigoplus_j n_j \, \underline{2j+1}$. This means that a generic connection can be specified as

$$a(x^+) = \sum_j \sum_{m=-j}^{j} \Phi^{j,m}_{(n_j)}(x^+) T^{(n_j)}_{j,m} \, , \tag{3.4}$$

where the $T^{(n_j)}_{j,m}$ are generators combined into representations of weight j, and the index n_j

[4] See [24] for a modification of these boundary conditions.

labels the different representations of weight j.[5] For example, we denote the sl_2 generators as $(T^{(1)}_{1,-1}, T^{(1)}_{1,0}, T^{(1)}_{1,1})$.

We can now define asymptotically AdS boundary conditions. The connection which corresponds to the AdS background is

$$A_{AdS} = e^{\rho} T^{(1)}_{1,1} dx^+ + T^{(1)}_{1,0} d\rho \,, \tag{3.5}$$

from which we read the radial parameter $b = e^{\rho T^{(1)}_{1,0}}$. Choosing the same radial parameter for all connections, an asymptotically AdS configuration satisfies

$$(A - A_{AdS})|_{\rho\to\infty} = \mathcal{O}(1) \,. \tag{3.6}$$

In terms of the expansion (3.4), this effectively cuts down the fields that would have negative conformal weights, i.e.

$$\Phi^{j,m}_{(n_j)} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad m > 0 \,,\, j > 1 \,. \tag{3.7}$$

One can then use gauge transformations that respect the boundary conditions to bring the connection to the highest-weight gauge, i.e.

$$a = T^{(1)}_{1,1} + a_{fix} \,, \quad a_{fix} = \sum_j \frac{1}{c_j} \Phi^j_{(n_j)} T^{(n_j)}_{j,-j} \,, \tag{3.8}$$

where $\Phi^j_{(n_j)} \equiv \Phi^{j,-j}_{(n_j)}$, and we introduced $c_j = \mathrm{tr}(T^{(n_j)}_{j,-j} T^{(n_j)}_{j,j})$ to assure conventional normalization of the fields.

Despite appearances, there is some residual gauge symmetry. More concretely, consider a gauge transformation

$$\delta_\Lambda a = d\Lambda + [a, \Lambda] \,, \tag{3.9}$$

with

$$\Lambda = \sum_j \sum_{m=-j}^{j} \mu^{(n_j)}_{j,m} T^{(n_j)}_{j,m} \,. \tag{3.10}$$

For some non-trivial relations among the functions $\mu^{(n_j)}_{j,m}$, this implements only a transformation on $\Phi^j_{(n_j)}$. Hence it will preserve conditions (3.6) and (3.8). And for those transformations that do not vanish near the boundary – physical symmetries – we will have the corresponding conserved charges

$$Q(\mu) = \frac{k}{2\pi} \int d\theta \, \mathrm{tr}\,(a_{fix} \Lambda) = \frac{k}{2\pi} \int d\theta \sum_j \mu^{(n_j)}_j \Phi^j_{(n_j)} \,, \tag{3.11}$$

[5] According to (2.10) the different $T^{(n_j)}_{j,m}$ can have non-trivial relations through the centralizer of sl_2. Hence the field is specified by its weight j and its transformation with respect to the centralizer.

where $\mu_j^{(n_j)} \equiv \mu_{j,j}^{(n_j)}$ is the source conjugate to $\Phi^j_{(n_j)}$. The level of the Chern-Simons action is related again to the AdS radius via (2.4), where now the trace is the bilinear invariant of $sl(N,\mathbb{R})$.

Further the Poisson bracket of these charges generate gauge transformation on the fields Φ, i.e.

$$\delta_\mu \Phi = \{\Phi, Q(\mu)\}\,, \tag{3.12}$$

and most importantly

$$\delta_{\mu'} Q(\mu) = \{Q(\mu), Q(\mu')\} = Q([\mu,\mu']) + K(\mu,\mu')\,. \tag{3.13}$$

This is the asymptotic symmetry group. For pure AdS_3 gravity it would lead to the Virasoro algebra, where K is the central term. For the sl_N higher spin theories K contains in addition nonlinear terms on Q. These are the $\mathcal{W}$-algebras, and examples of the explicit construction for $N = 3, 4$ can be found in e.g. [8, 32, 16]. For any of these algebras, one can then read off the central charge in (3.13) and recover the celebrated result of Brown and Henneaux

$$c = 12k\mathrm{tr}(L_0^2) = \frac{3\ell}{2G_3}\,, \tag{3.14}$$

with $L_0 \equiv T^{(1)}_{1,0}$.

For our purposes it will be convenient to translate (3.12) to a statement concerning OPEs of the fields as in [14]. At the boundary, we will use Euclidean coordinates $(x^+, x^-) \to (z, \bar{z})$ and use the holomorphic nature of the fields in our theory. We then use Noether's theorem to write

$$\delta\Phi(z) = \operatorname*{Res}_{z\to 0}[J(z)\Phi(0)]\,, \tag{3.15}$$

where $J(z) = \sum_j \mu_j^{(n_j)} \Phi^j_{(n_j)}$. By adjusting the sources, one can extract from (3.9) and (3.15) the different OPEs. From simple contour integrations the latter are mapped to commutation relations. In the following sections we use this procedure to characterize the asymptotic symmetry algebra corresponding to non-principal embeddings.

This procedure is equivalent to the Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction that leads to extended conformal algebras. One important ingredient of this procedure, which we will later use in this section, is the definition of the stress tensor L. In the presence of currents, the stress tensor contains a Sugawara density in addition to the spin 2 field, and in our notation it reduces to [6]

$$L = -\frac{k}{2}\mathrm{tr}(a^2)\,, \tag{3.16}$$

where the proportionality factor is fixed by (3.12). With this definition, the fields $\Phi^j_{(n_j)}$ are primaries of weight $(j+1)$.

[6] In a general gauge, the stress tensor could contain a linear improvement term proportional to the Cartan element of the singled-out sl_2 subalgebra. In the highest-weight gauge the latter drops [53].

3.2 Sum embedding

We now proceed to apply this rather abstract discussion of the asymptotic symmetry group to a concrete setup. Consider the sum embedding as defined in (2.11). Taking $N = M + P$, we can write the embedding as the branching of the fundamental representation of sl_N, i.e.

$$\underline{P+M}_{P+M} \to 1 \cdot \underline{M} + P \cdot \underline{1} \, .$$

Constructing the full asymptotic symmetry group is tedious, and not very illuminating. Instead, we consider a truncation that involves only the gravitational sector and a $U(1)$ currents of this embedding. For the sum embedding, the gauge fixed one-form (3.8) turns to be

$$a_{fix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{c_0}\frac{M}{P} U \mathbf{1}_{P\times P} & \mathbf{0}_{M\times P} \\ \mathbf{0}_{P\times M} & \frac{1}{c_1} T\, W_{-1}^{(2)} + \frac{1}{c_0} U \mathbf{1}_{M\times M} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{3.17}$$

with c_j are the traces defined below (3.8). Here T is the spin 2 field and U is the $U(1)$ current, and stand for the fields $\Phi^1_{(1)}$ and $\Phi^0_{(1)}$ respectively. The stress tensor (3.16) is given by

$$L = \frac{c}{12}\frac{1}{c_1}\left(2T + \frac{1}{c_0}U^2\right) \, . \tag{3.18}$$

The explicit expressions for the generators, as introduced in (3.4), are

$$\begin{aligned} T^{(1)}_{1,\{0,\pm1\}} &= \mathbf{0}_{P\times P} \oplus W^{(2)}_{\{0,\pm1\}} \, , \\ T^{(0)}_{0} &= \left(-\frac{M}{P}\mathbf{1}_{P\times P}\right) \oplus \mathbf{1}_{M\times M} \, , \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

where $W^{(2)}_{0,\pm1}$ are traceless $M \times M$ matrices (see appendix A).

To construct the asymptotic symmetry group, it will be sufficient to start with a gauge parameter of the form

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{M}{P}\mu^{(0)}_0 \mathbf{1}_{P\times P} & \mathbf{0}_{M\times P} \\ \mathbf{0}_{P\times M} & \mu^{(1)}_1 W^{(2)}_1 + \mu^{(1)}_{1,0} W^{(2)}_0 + \mu^{(1)}_{1,-1} W^{(2)}_{-1} + \mu^{(0)}_0 \mathbf{1}_{M\times M} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{3.20}$$

Here $\mu^{(1)}_1$ and $\mu^{(0)}_0$ are the sources for T and U, in accordance with (3.11). The components $\mu^{(1)}_{1,0}$ and $\mu^{(1)}_{1,-1}$ are determined by requiring that the gauge transformation preserves (3.6) and (3.8). This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^{(1)}_{1,0} &= -\partial \mu^{(1)}_1 \, , \\ \mu^{(1)}_{1,-1} &= \frac{1}{2}\partial^2 \mu^{(1)}_1 + \frac{T}{c_1}\mu^{(1)}_1 \, , \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

where we used the notation $\partial \equiv \partial_{x^+}$ and $\bar\partial \equiv \partial_{x^-}$.

As a result, the non-trivial gauge transformations (3.20)-(3.21) act on the fields as

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_\Lambda L &= \frac{c}{12}\partial^3\epsilon + \epsilon\partial L + 2L\partial\epsilon + U\partial\eta\,,\\ \delta_\Lambda U &= -\frac{M^2}{c}\left(\frac{M}{P}+1\right)\left(M^2-1\right)\partial\eta + U\partial\epsilon + \epsilon\partial U\,.\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

In (3.22) we introduced

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon &= \mu_1^{(1)}\,,\\ \eta &= -\frac{c}{6c_0c_1}\left(\mu_1^{(1)}U - c_0\mu_0^{(0)}\right)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

in order to get the canonically normalized transformations.

We can reconstruct the OPEs from (3.22). Using $J(z) = \epsilon L + \eta U$ in (3.15) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}L(z)\,L(0) &\sim \frac{c}{2}\frac{1}{z^4} + \frac{2L(0)}{z^2} + \frac{\partial L(0)}{z}\,,\\ L(z)\,U(0) &\sim \frac{U(0)}{z^2} + \frac{\partial U(0)}{z}\,,\\ U(z)\,U(0) &\sim -\frac{M^2}{c}\left(\frac{M}{P}+1\right)\left(M^2-1\right)\frac{1}{z^2}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Decomposing L and U in a Laurent expansion, the singular parts of the operator expansions yield commutation relations by contour integration

$$\begin{aligned}[L_n, L_m] &= (n-m)\,L_{n+m} + \frac{c}{12}\left(n^3-n\right)\delta_{n+m}\,,\\ [L_n, U_m] &= -mU_{m+n}\,,\\ [U_n, U_m] &= -\frac{M^2}{c}\left(\frac{M}{P}+1\right)\left(M^2-1\right)n\delta_{n+m}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

where $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Even though this is not the complete asymptotic algebra, we can make some precise assertions about the entire Fock space. It is clear from (3.25) that for $c > 0$, which is our case due to (3.14), the level of the $U(1)$ current is negative. This immediately indicates that the spectrum is sick: by simple inspection negative norm states will be present in the theory. More explicitly, in a highest weight representation, the vacuum is defined as

$$L_n|0\rangle = U_n|0\rangle = 0\,, \quad n \geq 0\,, \tag{3.26}$$

and analogous expressions for the other spin generators. The above also implies that the vacuum is annihilated by the rigid sl_N generators, and in particular $L_{-1}|0\rangle = 0$. Descendants are constructed by acting on the vacuum with creation operators associated to each spin generator, e.g. L_{-n-1} and U_{-n} with $n > 0$.

The first excited state is given solely by $|\psi\rangle = U_{-1}|0\rangle$; note that this is true only for the vacuum state and it is unaffected by the other spin generators with $j \neq 0$.[7] According to (3.25), the state has norm

$$\langle\psi|\psi\rangle = -\frac{M^2}{c}\left(\frac{M}{P}+1\right)\left(M^2-1\right)\langle 0|0\rangle < 0\ . \tag{3.27}$$

Hence, the physical spectrum associated to this embedding does not admit unitary representations. As a gravitational higher spin theory, the presence of $U(1)$ fields makes the theory pathological.

This conclusion is generic to any embedding containing $U(1)$ currents, not only the sum embedding. Including other fields with $j \neq 0$ in the branching of the adjoint representation of $SL(N,\mathbb{R})$ (2.10) does not affect the UU OPE; this OPE depends only on the variation δU with respect to its associated source η. In the next sub-section, we will generalize the analysis for multiple currents, but first we will work out an explicit example.

3.2.1 Example: $U(1)$ singlet in $\mathcal{W}_3^{(2)}$ algebra

One example of the sum embedding that can be carried out explicitly –without leaving any field behind– is the diagonal embedding of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ in $SL(3,\mathbb{R})$. The partition is $\underline{3} \to 1\cdot\underline{2} + 1\cdot\underline{1}$ and the adjoint representation of the algebra is

$$\underline{ad}_3 \to \underline{1}\otimes\underline{2} + \underline{1}\otimes\underline{2} + \underline{1}\otimes\underline{3} + \underline{1}\otimes\underline{1}\,. \tag{3.28}$$

The theory contains two bosonic spin 3/2 fields, a spin 2 field that carries the gravitational dynamics, and a $U(1)$ current. One can do the same analysis as we have done from (3.17) to (3.25), by simply setting $P = 1, M = 2$.

In the highest weight gauge we can represent the one form (3.8)[8]

$$a_{fix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2j & 0 & G \\ \bar{G} & j & T \\ 0 & 0 & j \end{pmatrix}\ , \tag{3.30}$$

where j is the $U(1)$ current, G and $\bar{G}$ are the spin 3/2 fields and T is the graviton. They play the role of $\Phi_0^{(1)}$, $\Phi_1^{(1)}$, $\Phi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}$ and $\Phi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}$ in equation (3.8). The OPE was computed in [18]

[7] The presence of additional states at level 1 would require computing the Kac determinant, and from there assure that the matrix is positive definite. In our setup, this will be the case if and only if we have additional spin 1 fields, and it is the subject of section 3.3.

[8] In [18], a different representation was used. In their notation we would have

$$a_{fix,there} = \begin{pmatrix} j & \bar{G} & T \\ 0 & -2j & G \\ 0 & 0 & j \end{pmatrix}\ . \tag{3.29}$$

using the techniques outlined above. We will not repeat the derivation here and just state the final answer found in [18]

$$\begin{aligned}
L(z)\,L(0) &\sim \frac{c}{2}\frac{1}{z^4}+\frac{2L(0)}{z^2}+\frac{\partial L(0)}{z}\,,\\
L(z)\,U(0) &\sim \frac{U(0)}{z^2}+\frac{\partial U(0)}{z}\,,\\
U(z)\,U(0) &\sim -\frac{c}{9}\frac{1}{z^2}\,,\\
L(z)\,G_{\pm}(0) &\sim \frac{\partial G_{+}(0)}{z}+\frac{3}{2}\frac{G_{+}(0)}{z^2}\,,\\
G_{+}(z)\,G_{-}(0) &\sim -\frac{c}{3}\frac{1}{z^3}+\frac{3U(0)}{z^2}-\frac{1}{z}\left(L(0)+\frac{18}{c}U(0)^2-\frac{3}{2}\partial U(0)\right)\,,\\
G_{\pm}(z)\,U(0) &\sim \pm\frac{G_{+}(0)}{z}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
T &= -\frac{6}{c}\left[L+\frac{9}{2c}U^2\right]\,,\quad j=\frac{3}{c}U\,,\\
G &= -\frac{6}{c}G_{-}\,,\quad \bar{G}=-\frac{6}{c}G_{+}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

This is known as the $\mathcal{W}_3^{(2)}$ or Polyakov-Bershadsky algebra [55, 56]. The relevant structure of L and U is preserved after the inclusion of the spin 3/2 fields. In particular the negative sign in the UU OPE is not affected. Hence, negative norm states will appear, just as in the general sum embedding.

Note that using the Chern-Simons theory we will always obtain the classical limit (large central charge limit) of the $\mathcal{W}$-algebra. Some of these algebras have a known quantum version, and the claims about unitary representations we make here could change. We refer the interested reader to appendix B, where we compute the Kac determinant for the first levels of the quantum $\mathcal{W}_3^{(2)}$ algebra.

3.3 Product embedding

We consider now an embedding of sl_2 in sl_N that contains non-Abelian currents. A good representative is the product embedding, where the partition of $N=P\cdot M$ is $\underline{P\cdot M}_{P\cdot M}\to P\cdot\underline{M}$ and the adjoint representation of the algebra is explained below equation (2.13).

For sake of simplicity, we turn off the fields that transforms in $\underline{ad}_P\otimes(\underline{3}\oplus\cdots\oplus\underline{2M-1})$. The connection will only contain the graviton and non-Abelian currents, i.e. we choose (3.8) as

$$a_{fix}=\frac{1}{c_0}U^a\sigma_a\otimes\mathbf{1}_{M\times M}+\frac{1}{c_1}T\mathbf{1}_{P\times P}\otimes W_{-1}^{(2)}\,. \tag{3.33}$$

The fields U^a are currents that transform under sl_P and T is again the gravitational spin 2 field. We pick a representation of the sl_P algebra $\{\sigma_a\}$ such that

$$\frac{\mathrm{tr}\left(\sigma_a\sigma_b\right)}{\left(\sum_c \mathrm{tr}\left(\sigma_c^2\right)\right)} = \gamma_{ab}\,, \quad [\sigma_a,\sigma_b] = f_{ab}{}^c\sigma_c\,, \tag{3.34}$$

where γ_{ab} is the Killing form and $f_{ab}{}^c$ are the structure constants. The explicit expression for the generators are

$$\begin{aligned} T_0^{(a)} &= \sigma_a \otimes \mathbf{1}_{M\times M}\,, \\ T^{(1)}_{1,\{0,\pm1\}} &= \mathbf{1}_{P\times P} \otimes W^{(2)}_{\{0,\pm1\}}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

To ease the notation, we modified slightly the normalization of the sl_P currents to

$$c_0 = \sum_a \mathrm{tr}(T_0^{(a)}T_0^{(a)}) = M\sum_a \mathrm{tr}\left(\sigma_a^2\right)\ . \tag{3.36}$$

The stress tensor is then (3.16)

$$L = \frac{c}{12}\frac{1}{c_1}\left(2T + \frac{1}{c_0}U^aU^b\gamma_{ab}\right)\,. \tag{3.37}$$

The gauge parameter that preserves our boundary conditions takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda \;=\; & \mu_0^{(a)}\sigma_a\otimes\mathbf{1}_{M\times M} + \mu_1^{(1)}\mathbf{1}_{P\times P}\otimes W_1^{(2)} \\ & + \mu^{(1)}_{1,0}\mathbf{1}_{P\times P}\otimes W^{(2)}_{0,M\times M} + \mu^{(1)}_{1,-1}\mathbf{1}_{P\times P}\otimes W^{(2)}_{-1}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

where $\mu_0^{(a)}$ and $\mu_1^{(1)}$ are sources, and $\mu^{(1)}_{1,0}$ and $\mu^{(1)}_{1,-1}$ are again simply given by (3.21).

The variation of the fields under residual gauge transformations (3.38) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\Lambda L &= \frac{c}{12}\partial^3\epsilon + 2L\partial\epsilon + \epsilon\partial L + U^a\partial\eta^b\gamma_{ab}\,, \\ \delta_\Lambda U^a &= -\frac{MP}{c}\left(M^2-1\right)c_0\partial\eta^a + \partial\left(\epsilon U^a\right) - \frac{MP}{c}\left(M^2-1\right)f^a{}_{bc}U^b\eta^c\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

Again, we redefine the sources as

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &= \mu_1^{(1)}\,, \\ \eta^a &= -\frac{c}{6c_0c_1}\left(\mu_1^{(1)}U^a - c_0\mu_0^a\right)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.40}$$

in order to get the transformations in a canonical form.

Using $J = \epsilon L + \eta^a U^a$, the above transformations completely determines the singular part of the OPEs

$$\begin{aligned} L\left(z\right)L\left(0\right) &\sim \frac{c}{2}\frac{1}{z^4} + \frac{2L\left(0\right)}{z^2} + \frac{\partial L\left(0\right)}{z}\,, \\ L\left(z\right)U^a\left(0\right) &\sim \frac{U^a\left(0\right)}{z^2} + \frac{\partial U^a\left(0\right)}{z}\,, \\ U^a\left(z\right)U^b\left(0\right) &\sim -\frac{M^2P}{c}\left(M^2-1\right)\frac{\gamma^{ab}}{z^2} + \frac{MP}{c}\left(M^2-1\right)\frac{f^{ab}{}_cU^c\left(0\right)}{z}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

and the following commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned}
[L_n, L_m] &= (n-m)\, L_{n+m} + \frac{c}{12}\left(n^3 - n\right)\delta_{n+m}\,, \\
[L_n, U^a_m] &= -m U^a_{m+n}\,, \\
\left[U^a_n, U^b_m\right] &= -\frac{M^2 P}{c}\left(M^2-1\right)\, n\delta_{n+m}\gamma^{ab} + \frac{MP}{c}\left(M^2-1\right) f^{ab}{}_c U^c_{m+n}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.42}$$

where it is clear that the sl_P currents form now a Kac-Moody algebra.

One can check that the Kac-Moody currents are problematic, providing with a generalization of the single $U(1)$ case. To construct the spectrum of the theory, we follow the arguments at the end of section 3.2. At level 1, we now have a collection of the states $|\psi^a\rangle = U^a_{-1}|0\rangle$, and the norms of these states are given by

$$\langle\psi^a|\psi^b\rangle = -\frac{M^2}{c}\left(\frac{M}{P}+1\right)\left(M^2-1\right)\gamma^{ab}\langle 0|0\rangle\ . \tag{3.43}$$

The Killing matrix γ_{ab} generically will contain both positive and negative eigenvalues, hence the matrix is not positive definite.

This captures the basic pathology of having spin 1 fields in $SL(N)$ higher spin theories. We conclude that any non-principal embedding will contain negative norm states for positive central charge c. We next present an explicit example of the simplest product embedding, keeping track of the inclusion of all the fields that are present in the adjoint representation.

3.3.1 Example: sl_2 currents in $\mathcal{W}_4^{(2,2)}$ algebra

In this section we check that the truncation for the product embeddings does not interfere with the results for the non-Abelian currents. We consider the decomposition $\underline{4}_4 \to 2\cdot\underline{2}$. The field content consists of a sl_2 current, a multiplet of 3 spin 2 fields that transform under the adjoint representation of sl_2, and a spin 2 field in the trivial representation of sl_2 that we will call the graviton. We denote the asymptotic algebra $\mathcal{W}_4^{(2,2)}$ which is the simplest instance of a non-principal, non-diagonal embedding. Note that this algebra is the case $P=2, M=2$ of a product embedding.

The gauge fixed current can be written as

$$a_{fix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{J} & \mathbf{T} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{J} \end{pmatrix}\,, \quad \mathbf{J} = \frac{U^a}{2\sum_c \left(\mathrm{tr}\left(\sigma_c^2\right)\right)}\sigma_a\,, \quad \mathbf{T} = -\frac{T}{4}\mathbf{1} + \frac{t^a}{2\sum_c \left(\mathrm{tr}\left(\sigma_c^2\right)\right)}\sigma_a\,. \tag{3.44}$$

The fields T, U^a and t^a are the graviton, the sl_2 currents and the multiplet of spin 2 fields respectively. The gauge parameter is now written as follows

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_0 & \lambda_{-1} \\ \lambda_1 & \gamma - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_0 \end{pmatrix}\,, \quad \gamma = \mu_0^{(a)}\sigma_a\,, \quad \lambda_i = \mu^{(1)}_{1,i}\mathbf{1} + \mu^a_{1,i}\sigma_a\,. \tag{3.45}$$

The conjugate sources are encoded in λ_1 and $\mu_0^{(a)}$. And $\lambda_{0,-1}$ are solved for in a manner similar to (3.21).

The stress tensor (3.37) is not affected by the multiplet of spin 2 fields t^a, nor is the redefinition of the sources (3.40). We include here the variations of the stress tensor and the currents under the residual gauge transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \delta L &= \frac{c}{12}\partial^3\epsilon + 2L\partial\epsilon + \epsilon\partial L + U^a\partial\eta^b\gamma_{ab} + 2t^a\partial\mu_1^b\gamma_{ab}\,, \\ \delta U^a &= -\frac{4}{c}c_0\partial\eta^a + \partial\left(\epsilon U^a\right) - \frac{4}{c}f^a{}_{bc}U^b\eta^c + f^a{}_{bc}t^b\mu_1^c\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.46}$$

In particular, we have that the t^a fields are indeed spin 2 multiplets transforming in the adjoint of the sl_2 currents. Moreover, one can see that the U^aU^b OPE will not be affected by the multiplets, hence the same type of states as in the general case will preclude unitary representations of the $\mathcal{W}_4^{(2,2)}$ algebra.

Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to Alex Maloney for useful discussions and comments. We also thank Jan de Boer, Michael Gutperle and Per Kraus for discussions. This work was supported by the National Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada. E.H. acknowledges support from Fundación Caja Madrid.

A Conventions

An explicit M-dimensional representation of the sl_M generators is [19]

$$W_1^{(2)} = -\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{M-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{i\,(M-i)} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{M-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{A.1}$$

$$W_{-1}^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{M-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{i\,(M-i)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{M-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{A.2}$$

$$W_0^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} M-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M-3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & M+1-2i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -(M-1) \end{pmatrix} \tag{A.3}$$

and

$$W_m^{(s)} = (-1)^{s-m-1}\,\frac{(s+m-1)!}{(2s-2)!}\underbrace{[W_{-1}^{(2)},[W_{-1}^{(2)},\ldots,[W_{-1}^{(2)}}_{s-m-1\text{ terms}},(W_1^{(2)})^{s-1}]\ldots]]\,. \tag{A.4}$$

with $m=-(s-1)\ldots(s+1)$ and $s=3\ldots M$.

The Killing metric of this algebra is

$$\mathrm{tr}\left(W_m^{(s)}W_n^{(r)}\right) = t_m^{(s)}\delta^{r,s}\delta_{m,-n}\,, \tag{A.5}$$

where

$$t_m^{(s)} = (-1)^m\frac{(s-1)!^2(s+m-1)!(s-m-1)!}{(2s-1)!(2s-2)!}M\prod_{i=1}^{s-1}\left(M^2-i^2\right)\,. \tag{A.6}$$

A.1 Sum embedding construction

The generalization of (3.17) to include the remaining matter fields is

$$a_{fix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{J}_{P\times P} - \frac{M}{Pc_0} U \mathbf{1}_{P\times P} & \mathbf{0}_{(M-1)\times P} & \mathbf{G}_{1\times P} \\ \overline{\mathbf{G}}_{P\times 1} & & \mathbf{W}_{M\times M} + \frac{1}{c_0} U \mathbf{1}_{M\times M} \\ \mathbf{0}_{P\times(M-1)} & & \end{pmatrix} . \tag{A.7}$$

Here $\mathbf{J}_{P\times P}$ contains the sl_P currents, $\mathbf{G}$ ($\overline{\mathbf{G}}$) is the multiplet of fields that transform in the fundamental (conjugate) representation of sl_P and U is the spin 1 singlet. $\mathbf{W}$ contains the information for the rest of higher spin fields

$$\mathbf{W}_{M\times M} = W_1^{(2)} + \sum_{s=2}^{M} \frac{\Psi_s}{c_s} W_{-s+1}^{(s)} , \tag{A.8}$$

where $W_{s-1}^{(s)}$ are generators of sl_M, and

$$c_s = \mathrm{tr}\left(W_{s-1}^{(s)} W_{-s+1}^{(s)} \right) , \quad c_0 = \mathrm{tr}\left(T_0^{(0)} T_0^{(0)} \right) . \tag{A.9}$$

A.2 Product embedding construction

The generalization of the gauge fixed one-form (3.33) is

$$a_{fix} = \mathbf{J}_{P\times P} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{M\times M} + \mathbf{1}_{P\times P} \otimes L_{1,M\times M} + \sum_{s=2}^{M} \frac{1}{c_s} \mathbf{\Psi}_{s,P\times P} \otimes W_{-s+1,M\times M}^{(s)} . \tag{A.10}$$

Here $\mathbf{1}$ is the unit matrix, $\mathbf{J}$ is a traceless matrix that contains the sl_P currents, and $\mathbf{\Psi}_s$ contains both the spin s field and the multiplets of P^2-1 spin s fields that transform in the adjoint representation of the sl_P currents. Any of these matrices can be decomposed as $\mathbf{\Psi}_s = \frac{\Psi_s}{P}\mathbf{1}_{P\times P} + \psi_s^a \sigma_a$ where σ_a are generators of the sl_P algebra.

B Quantum $\mathcal{W}_3^{(2)}$ algebra

The algebras we have used in this work are semiclassical limits of $\mathcal{W}$-algebras. The full quantum algebra receives corrections that affect the relation between the level of the current algebras and the central charge; it also affects the coefficients of non-linear terms. An illustrative example is the Polyakov-Bershadsky algebra $\mathcal{W}_3^{(2)}$ [55, 56]. Here we will explore if our conclusions in section 3.2.1 are modified in the quantum regime.

In the quantum regime, the matter content does not change, but the commutation relations get modified slightly. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
[L_n, U_m] =& - mU_{m+n}\,, \\
[L_n, L_m] =& (n-m)\, L_{n+m} + \frac{c}{12}\left(n^3 - n\right)\delta_{n+m} \\
[U_n, U_m] =& \frac{2\kappa+3}{3} n\delta_{n+m}\,, \\
\left[L_n, G^{\pm}_r\right] =& \left(\frac{n}{2} - r\right) G^{\pm}_{n+r}\,, \\
\left[U_n, G^{\pm}_r\right] =& \pm\, G^{\pm}_{r+n}\,, \\
\left[G^{+}_r, G^{-}_s\right] =& \frac{(\kappa+1)\,(2\kappa+3)}{2}\left(r^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right)\delta_{r+s,0} \\
& + \frac{3}{2}(\kappa+1)\,(r-s)\, U_{r+s} - (\kappa+3)\, L_{r+s} + 3\sum_m U_{r+s-m} U_m\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

where $(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(r, s) \in (\mathbb{Z} + 1/2)$. The central charge of the algebra is corrected by quantum effects

$$c = 25 - \frac{24}{\kappa+3} - 6\,(\kappa+3)\,, \tag{B.2}$$

and κ is a real parameter. The classical limit is given by $-\kappa \gg 1$, reproducing the OPEs (3.31).

In the highest-weight representation, the vacuum satisfies

$$L_n|0\rangle = 0 \quad , \quad U_n|0\rangle = 0 \quad , n \geq 0\,, \tag{B.3}$$

and

$$G^{+}_r|0\rangle = 0 \quad r \geq -\frac{1}{2}. \tag{B.4}$$

From the algebra it is also true that $L_{-1}|0\rangle = 0$.

We define the hermitian conjugate as

$$L^{\dagger}_{-n} \equiv L_n \quad ; \quad U^{\dagger}_{-n} \equiv U_n \quad ; \quad (G^{+}_{-n})^{\dagger} \equiv G^{-}_n\,. \tag{B.5}$$

At level 1 the only state is $U_{-1}|0\rangle$ and its norm reads

$$\langle 0|U_1 U_{-1}|0\rangle = (2\kappa+3)\,/3\,. \tag{B.6}$$

The level 3/2 is as simple as the first, since the only state is $G^{+}_{-3/2}|0\rangle$. The norm is then

$$\langle 0|(G^{+}_{-3/2})^{\dagger} G^{+}_{-3/2}|0\rangle = (2\kappa+3)\,[1 - 12(\kappa+1)]\,. \tag{B.7}$$

Imposing positive norms at the two lowest level, the allowed values for κ are

$$-\frac{3}{2} < \kappa < -\frac{11}{12}\,. \tag{B.8}$$

Note that in this range c is valued between 0 and 1, and therefore this bound is not consistent with a semiclassical limit.

We now compute the inner product matrix at level 2, in the basis given by $L_{-2}|0\rangle$, $U_{-2}|0\rangle$ and $U_{-1}U_{-1}|0\rangle$. Using the commutation relations (B.1) we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{c}{2} & 0 & \frac{2\kappa+3}{3} \\ 0 & 2\frac{2\kappa+3}{3} & 0 \\ \frac{2\kappa+3}{3} & 0 & 2\left(\frac{2\kappa+3}{3}\right)^2 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{B.9}$$

This matrix is not positive-definite for the central charge (B.2) in the range (B.8). We do not intend to compute the Kac determinant for every level or non-vacuum states, and leave this for the adventurous reader.

References

[1] M. Vasiliev, "Progress in higher spin gauge theories," `hep-th/0104246`.

[2] X. Bekaert, S. Cnockaert, C. Iazeolla, and M. Vasiliev, "Nonlinear higher spin theories in various dimensions," `hep-th/0503128`.

[3] I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, "AdS dual of the critical O(N) vector model," *Phys. Lett.* **B550** (2002) 213–219, `hep-th/0210114`.

[4] E. Sezgin and P. Sundell, "Massless higher spins and holography," *Nucl. Phys.* **B644** (2002) 303–370, `hep-th/0205131`.

[5] S. Giombi and X. Yin, "Higher Spin Gauge Theory and Holography: The Three-Point Functions," *JHEP* **09** (2010) 115, `0912.3462`.

[6] S. Giombi and X. Yin, "Higher Spins in AdS and Twistorial Holography," *JHEP* **1104** (2011) 086, `1004.3736`.

[7] M. Henneaux and S.-J. Rey, "Nonlinear $W_{infinity}$ as Asymptotic Symmetry of Three-Dimensional Higher Spin Anti-de Sitter Gravity," *JHEP* **1012** (2010) 007, `1008.4579`.

[8] A. Campoleoni, S. Fredenhagen, S. Pfenninger, and S. Theisen, "Asymptotic symmetries of three-dimensional gravity coupled to higher-spin fields," *JHEP* **11** (2010) 007, `1008.4744`.

[9] M. R. Gaberdiel, R. Gopakumar, and A. Saha, "Quantum W-symmetry in AdS_3," `1009.6087`.

[10] A. Castro, A. Lepage-Jutier, and A. Maloney, "Higher Spin Theories in AdS_3 and a Gravitational Exclusion Principle," *JHEP* **1101** (2011) 142, 1012.0598.

[11] A. Bagchi, S. Lal, A. Saha, and B. Sahoo, "One loop partition function for Topologically Massive Higher Spin Gravity," *JHEP* **1112** (2011) 068, 1107.2063.

[12] A. Castro, T. Hartman, and A. Maloney, "The Gravitational Exclusion Principle and Null States in Anti-de Sitter Space," *Class.Quant.Grav.* **28** (2011) 195012, 1107.5098.

[13] S. Datta and J. R. David, "Higher Spin Quasinormal Modes and One-Loop Determinants in the BTZ black Hole," 1112.4619. 47 pages.

[14] M. Gutperle and P. Kraus, "Higher Spin Black Holes," *JHEP* **05** (2011) 022, 1103.4304.

[15] A. Castro, E. Hijano, A. Lepage-Jutier, and A. Maloney, "Black Holes and Singularity Resolution in Higher Spin Gravity," *JHEP* **1201** (2012) 031, 1110.4117.

[16] H. Tan, "Aspects of Three-dimensional Spin-4 Gravity," 1111.2834.

[17] V. Didenko, A. Matveev, and M. Vasiliev, "BTZ Black Hole as Solution of 3-D Higher Spin Gauge Theory," *Theor.Math.Phys.* **153** (2007) 1487–1510, hep-th/0612161.

[18] M. Ammon, M. Gutperle, P. Kraus, and E. Perlmutter, "Spacetime Geometry in Higher Spin Gravity," 1106.4788.

[19] A. Castro, R. Gopakumar, M. Gutperle, and J. Raeymaekers, "Conical Defects in Higher Spin Theories," 1111.3381.

[20] P. Ouyang, "Toward Higher Spin dS3/CFT2," 1111.0276.

[21] B. Chen, J. Long, and J.-B. Wu, "Spin-3 Topological Massive Gravity," *Phys.Lett.* **B705** (2011) 513–520, 1106.5141.

[22] B. Chen and J. Long, "High Spin Topologically Massive Gravity," *JHEP* **1112** (2011) 114, 1110.5113.

[23] A. Campoleoni, "Higher Spins in D = 2+1," 1110.5841.

[24] M. Gary, D. Grumiller, and R. Rashkov, "Towards non-AdS holography in 3-dimensional higher spin gravity," 1201.0013.

[25] M. R. Gaberdiel and R. Gopakumar, "An AdS_3 Dual for Minimal Model CFTs," *Phys.Rev.* **D83** (2011) 066007, 1011.2986.

[26] E. Kiritsis and V. Niarchos, "Large-N limits of 2d CFTs, Quivers and AdS_3 duals," *JHEP* **1104** (2011) 113, 1011.5900.

[27] M. R. Gaberdiel and T. Hartman, "Symmetries of Holographic Minimal Models," *JHEP* **05** (2011) 031, 1101.2910.

[28] C. Ahn, "The Large N 't Hooft Limit of Coset Minimal Models," *JHEP* **1110** (2011) 125, 1106.0351.

[29] M. R. Gaberdiel, R. Gopakumar, T. Hartman, and S. Raju, "Partition Functions of Holographic Minimal Models," 1106.1897.

[30] C.-M. Chang and X. Yin, "Higher Spin Gravity with Matter in AdS_3 and Its CFT Dual," 1106.2580.

[31] M. R. Gaberdiel and C. Vollenweider, "Minimal Model Holography for SO(2N)," *JHEP* **1108** (2011) 104, 1106.2634.

[32] A. Campoleoni, S. Fredenhagen, and S. Pfenninger, "Asymptotic W-symmetries in three-dimensional higher-spin gauge theories," *JHEP* **1109** (2011) 113, 1107.0290.

[33] A. Bagchi, S. Lal, A. Saha, and B. Sahoo, "Topologically Massive Higher Spin Gravity," *JHEP* **1110** (2011) 150, 1107.0915.

[34] P. Kraus and E. Perlmutter, "Partition functions of higher spin black holes and their CFT duals," 1108.2567.

[35] K. Papadodimas and S. Raju, "Correlation Functions in Holographic Minimal Models," *Nucl.Phys.* **B856** (2012) 607–646, 1108.3077.

[36] C. Ahn, "The Coset Spin-4 Casimir Operator and Its Three-Point Functions with Scalars," *JHEP* **1202** (2012) 027, 1111.0091.

[37] T. Creutzig, Y. Hikida, and P. B. Ronne, "Higher spin AdS_3 supergravity and its dual CFT," 1111.2139.

[38] M. Ammon, P. Kraus, and E. Perlmutter, "Scalar fields and three-point functions in D=3 higher spin gravity," 1111.3926.

[39] M. R. Gaberdiel and P. Suchanek, "Limits of minimal models and continuous orbifolds," 1112.1708.

[40] C.-M. Chang and X. Yin, "Correlators in W_N Minimal Model Revisited," 1112.5459.

[41] J. D. Brown and M. Henneaux, "Central Charges in the Canonical Realization of Asymptotic Symmetries: An Example from Three-Dimensional Gravity," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **104** (1986) 207–226.

[42] M. Banados, "Global charges in Chern-Simons field theory and the (2+1) black hole," *Phys. Rev.* **D52** (1996) 5816, `hep-th/9405171`.

[43] M. Banados, "Three-dimensional quantum geometry and black holes," `hep-th/9901148`.

[44] P. Bouwknegt and K. Schoutens, "W symmetry in conformal field theory," *Phys.Rept.* **223** (1993) 183–276, `hep-th/9210010`.

[45] V. Drinfeld and V. Sokolov, "Lie algebras and equations of Korteweg-de Vries type," *J.Sov.Math.* **30** (1984) 1975–2036.

[46] A. Achucarro and P. K. Townsend, "A Chern-Simons Action for Three-Dimensional anti-De Sitter Supergravity Theories," *Phys. Lett.* **B180** (1986) 89.

[47] E. Witten, "(2+1)-Dimensional Gravity as an Exactly Soluble System," *Nucl. Phys.* **B311** (1988) 46.

[48] M. P. Blencowe, "A CONSISTENT INTERACTING MASSLESS HIGHER SPIN FIELD THEORY IN D = (2+1)," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **6** (1989) 443.

[49] O. Coussaert, M. Henneaux, and P. van Driel, "The Asymptotic dynamics of three-dimensional Einstein gravity with a negative cosmological constant," *Class.Quant.Grav.* **12** (1995) 2961–2966, `gr-qc/9506019`.

[50] M. Banados, K. Bautier, O. Coussaert, M. Henneaux, and M. Ortiz, "Anti-de Sitter / CFT correspondence in three-dimensional supergravity," *Phys.Rev.* **D58** (1998) 085020, `hep-th/9805165`.

[51] M. Henneaux, L. Maoz, and A. Schwimmer, "Asymptotic dynamics and asymptotic symmetries of three- dimensional extended AdS supergravity," *Annals Phys.* **282** (2000) 31–66, `hep-th/9910013`.

[52] E. Dynkin, "Semisimple subalgebras of semisimple Lie algebras," *Trans.Am.Math.Soc.* **6** (1957) 111.

[53] F. Bais, T. Tjin, and P. van Driel, "Covariantly coupled chiral algebras," *Nucl.Phys.* **B357** (1991) 632–654.

[54] J. de Boer and T. Tjin, "The Relation between quantum W algebras and Lie algebras," *Commun.Math.Phys.* **160** (1994) 317–332, hep-th/9302006.

[55] A. M. Polyakov, "Gauge Transformations and Diffeomorphisms," *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **A5** (1990) 833. Submitted to V. Knizhnik Memorial volume, Physics and Mathematics of Strings.

[56] M. Bershadsky, "Conformal field theories via Hamiltonian reduction," *Commun.Math.Phys.* **139** (1991) 71–82.